Supplemental Materials for Report #: PCIS-003

Feel free to contact research@skeptic.com with follow-up questions.

Participant Filter

PCIS: The full sample included 3,073 participants. For the analyses presented in Figure 1, 184 participants were excluded because they did not identify as a member of one of the dominant political parties (i.e., Democratic and Republican) or as "no party in particular." For the analyses presented in Figure 2, 2325 participants were excluded because they did not identify as "very liberal" or "very conservative."

As always, feel free to contact research@skeptic.com with follow-up questions.

Citations

Adams, Abigail. 2019. "Here's What We Know So Far About Russia's 2016 Meddling." TIME magazine. Accessed 3/10/22. (URL: https://time.com/5565991/russia-influence-2016-election/)

Yang, Maya. 2022. "More than 40% in US do not believe Biden legitimately won election." Accessed 3/10/22. (URL: https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2022/jan/05/america-biden-election-2020-poll-victory).

SPSS Output

Conspy8: 2016 Election
Conspy17: 2020 Election

Figure 1
NPar Tests
Chi-Square Test
Frequencies

Generally speaking, which of the following do you affiliate with? - Selected Choice

Conspy8_Cat		Observed N	Expected N	Residual
-1.00	Democratic Party	413	402.7	10.3
	Republican Party	547	402.7	144.3
	No Political Party in Particular	248	402.7	-154.7
	Total	1208		
.00	Democratic Party	302	227.3	74.7
	Republican Party	133	227.3	-94.3
	No Political Party in Particular	247	227.3	19.7
	Total	682		

1.00	Democratic Party	678	333.0	345.0
	Republican Party	148	333.0	-185.0
	No Political Party in Particular	173	333.0	-160.0
	Total	999		

Test Statistics

Conspy8_Cat			Generally speaking, which of the following do you affiliate with? - Selected Choice
-1.00		Chi-Square	111.409[a]
		df	2
		Asymp. Sig.	<.001
.00		Chi-Square	65.370[b]
		df	2
		Asymp. Sig.	<.001
1.00		Chi-Square	537.087[c]
		df	2
		Asymp. Sig.	<.001

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 402.7.
b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 227.3.
c. 0 cells (.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 333.0.

NPar Tests

Chi-Square Test

Frequencies

Generally speaking, which of the following do you affiliate with? - Selected Choice

Conspy17_Cat		Observed N	Expected N	Residual
-1.00	Democratic Party	1030	515.7	514.3
	Republican Party	198	515.7	-317.7
	No Political Party in Particular	319	515.7	-196.7
	Total	1547		
.00	Democratic Party	186	183.0	3.0
	Republican Party	150	183.0	-33.0
	No Political Party in Particular	213	183.0	30.0
	Total	549		
1.00	Democratic Party	177	264.3	-87.3
	Republican Party	480	264.3	215.7
	No Political Party in Particular	136	264.3	-128.3

		Total	793		

Test Statistics

Conspy17_Cat			Generally speaking, which of the following do you affiliate with? - Selected Choice
-1.00	Chi-Square		783.701[a]
	df		2
	Asymp. Sig.		<.001
.00	Chi-Square		10.918[b]
	df		2
	Asymp. Sig.		.004
1.00	Chi-Square		267.120[c]
	df		2
	Asymp. Sig.		<.001

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 515.7.

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 183.0.

c. 0 cells (.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 264.3.

Figure 2

T-Test

Group Statistics

	When thinking about the following issues, where would you place yourself on the political continuum? - Social/cultural issues	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
The 2016 Presidential election of Donald Trump was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians and computer programmers in Russia.	Very Liberal	451	.2616	2.10983	.09935
	Very Conservative	297	-1.3670	2.16311	.12552
The 2020 Presidential election of Joe Biden was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians, voting	Very Liberal	451	-1.8404	1.91050	.08996
	Very Conservative	297	1.4276	1.99043	.11550

machine programmers, and poll workers.					

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means		
		F	Sig.	t	df	Significance One-Sided p
The 2016 Presidential election of Donald Trump was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians and computer programmers in Russia.	Equal variances assumed	1.924	.166	10.227	746	<.001
	Equal variances not assumed			10.174	622.381	<.001
The 2020 Presidential election of Joe Biden was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians, voting machine programmers, and poll workers.	Equal variances assumed	2.269	.132	-22.512	746	<.001
	Equal variances not assumed			-22.322	615.178	<.001

Independent Samples Test

		t-test for Equality of Means			95% Confidence Interval of the Difference
		Significance Two-Sided p	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	Lower
The 2016 Presidential election of Donald Trump was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians and computer programmers in Russia.	Equal variances assumed	<.001	1.62864	.15926	1.31600
	Equal variances not assumed	<.001	1.62864	.16008	1.31429
The 2020 Presidential election of Joe Biden was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians, voting machine programmers, and poll workers.	Equal variances assumed	<.001	-3.26796	.14517	-3.55295
	Equal variances not assumed	<.001	-3.26796	.14640	-3.55547

Independent Samples Test

		t-test for Equality of Means
		95% Confidence Interval of the Difference
		Upper
The 2016 Presidential election of Donald Trump was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians and computer programmers in Russia.	Equal variances assumed	1.94129
	Equal variances not assumed	1.94300
The 2020 Presidential election of Joe Biden was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians, voting machine programmers, and poll workers.	Equal variances assumed	-2.98298
	Equal variances not assumed	-2.98046

Independent Samples Effect Sizes

		Standardizer[a]	Point Estimate	95% Confidence Interval Lower	95% Confidence Interval Upper
The 2016 Presidential election of Donald Trump was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians and computer programmers in Russia.	Cohen's d	2.13113	.764	.612	.915
	Hedges' correction	2.13328	.763	.612	.915
	Glass's delta	2.16311	.753	.594	.911
The 2020 Presidential election of Joe Biden was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians, voting machine programmers, and poll workers.	Cohen's d	1.94261	-1.682	-1.851	-1.512
	Hedges' correction	1.94456	-1.681	-1.849	-1.511
	Glass's delta	1.99043	-1.642	-1.838	-1.444

a. The denominator used in estimating the effect sizes.
Cohen's d uses the pooled standard deviation.
Hedges' correction uses the pooled standard deviation, plus a correction factor.
Glass's delta uses the sample standard deviation of the control group.

Figure 3

NPar Tests

Chi-Square Test

Frequencies

What is your biological sex?

Conspy8_Cat		Observed N	Expected N	Residual
-1.00	Male	681	636.5	44.5
	Female	592	636.5	-44.5
	Total	1273		
.00	Male	287	370.5	-83.5
	Female	454	370.5	83.5
	Total	741		
1.00	Male	468	529.5	-61.5
	Female	591	529.5	61.5
	Total	1059		

Test Statistics

Conspy8_Cat		What is your biological sex?
-1.00	Chi-Square	6.222[a]
	df	1
	Asymp. Sig.	.013
.00	Chi-Square	37.637[b]
	df	1
	Asymp. Sig.	<.001
1.00	Chi-Square	14.286[c]
	df	1
	Asymp. Sig.	<.001

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 636.5.

b. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 370.5.

c. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 529.5.

NPar Tests

Chi-Square Test
Frequencies

What is your biological sex?

Conspy17_Cat		Observed N	Expected N	Residual
-1.00	Male	745	810.0	-65.0
	Female	875	810.0	65.0
	Total	1620		
.00	Male	238	298.5	-60.5
	Female	359	298.5	60.5
	Total	597		
1.00	Male	453	428.0	25.0
	Female	403	428.0	-25.0
	Total	856		

Test Statistics

Conspy17_Cat		What is your biological sex?
-1.00	Chi-Square	10.432[a]
	df	1
	Asymp. Sig.	.001
.00	Chi-Square	24.524[b]
	df	1
	Asymp. Sig.	<.001
1.00	Chi-Square	2.921[c]
	df	1
	Asymp. Sig.	.087

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 810.0.

b. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 298.5.

c. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 428.0.

T-Test

Group Statistics

	What is your biological sex?	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
The 2016 Presidential election of Donald Trump was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians and computer programmers in Russia.	Male	1436	-.6177	2.10841	.05564
	Female	1637	-.1833	2.01659	.04984
	Male	1436	-.6950	2.25985	.05964

The 2020 Presidential election of Joe Biden was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians, voting machine programmers, and poll workers.	Female	1637	-.8540	2.14312	.05297

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means		
		F	Sig.	t	df	Significance One-Sided p
The 2016 Presidential election of Donald Trump was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians and computer programmers in Russia.	Equal variances assumed	33.921	<.001	-5.833	3071	<.001
	Equal variances not assumed			-5.816	2979.329	<.001
The 2020 Presidential election of Joe Biden was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians, voting machine programmers, and poll workers.	Equal variances assumed	14.686	<.001	2.001	3071	.023
	Equal variances not assumed			1.994	2970.615	.023

Independent Samples Test

		t-test for Equality of Means			95% Confidence Interval of the Difference
		Significance Two-Sided p	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	Lower
The 2016 Presidential election of Donald Trump was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians and computer programmers in Russia.	Equal variances assumed	<.001	-.43443	.07448	-.58046
	Equal variances not assumed	<.001	-.43443	.07470	-.58089
The 2020 Presidential election of Joe Biden was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-	Equal variances assumed	.046	.15902	.07949	.00316
	Equal variances not assumed	.046	.15902	.07976	.00262

		ranking politicians, voting machine programmers, and poll workers.				

Independent Samples Test

		t-test for Equality of Means	
		95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
			Upper
The 2016 Presidential election of Donald Trump was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians and computer programmers in Russia.	Equal variances assumed		-.28839
	Equal variances not assumed		-.28796
The 2020 Presidential election of Joe Biden was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians, voting machine programmers, and poll workers.	Equal variances assumed		.31487
	Equal variances not assumed		.31541

Independent Samples Effect Sizes

		Standardizer[a]	Point Estimate	95% Confidence Interval	
				Lower	Upper
The 2016 Presidential election of Donald Trump was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians and computer programmers in Russia.	Cohen's d	2.06000	-.211	-.282	-.140
	Hedges' correction	2.06051	-.211	-.282	-.140
	Glass's delta	2.01659	-.215	-.287	-.144
The 2020 Presidential election of Joe Biden was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians, voting machine programmers, and poll workers.	Cohen's d	2.19844	.072	.001	.143
	Hedges' correction	2.19897	.072	.001	.143
	Glass's delta	2.14312	.074	.003	.145

a. The denominator used in estimating the effect sizes.
Cohen's d uses the pooled standard deviation.
Hedges' correction uses the pooled standard deviation, plus a correction factor.

Glass's delta uses the sample standard deviation of the control group.

NPar Tests

Chi-Square Test
Frequencies

Which racial group do you most closely identify with? - Selected Choice

Conspy8_Cat		Observed N	Expected N	Residual
-1.00	White	930	318.3	611.8
	Hispanic/Latino	195	318.3	-123.2
	Black or African-American	92	318.3	-226.2
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	318.3	-262.2
	Total	1273		
.00	White	343	185.3	157.8
	Hispanic/Latino	209	185.3	23.8
	Black or African-American	124	185.3	-61.2
	Asian or Pacific Islander	65	185.3	-120.2
	Total	741		
1.00	White	513	264.8	248.3
	Hispanic/Latino	255	264.8	-9.7
	Black or African-American	214	264.8	-50.7
	Asian or Pacific Islander	77	264.8	-187.7
	Total	1059		

Test Statistics

Conspy8_Cat		Which racial group do you most closely identify with? - Selected Choice
-1.00	Chi-Square	1600.606[a]
	df	3
	Asymp. Sig.	.000
.00	Chi-Square	235.686[b]
	df	3
	Asymp. Sig.	<.001
1.00	Chi-Square	376.010[c]
	df	3
	Asymp. Sig.	<.001

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 318.3.

b. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 185.3.

c. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 264.8.

NPar Tests

Chi-Square Test

Frequencies

Which racial group do you most closely identify with? - Selected Choice

Conspy17_Cat		Observed N	Expected N	Residual
-1.00	White	949	405.0	544.0
	Hispanic/Latino	343	405.0	-62.0
	Black or African-American	224	405.0	-181.0
	Asian or Pacific Islander	104	405.0	-301.0
	Total	1620		
.00	White	262	149.3	112.8
	Hispanic/Latino	175	149.3	25.8
	Black or African-American	109	149.3	-40.2
	Asian or Pacific Islander	51	149.3	-98.2
	Total	597		
1.00	White	575	214.0	361.0
	Hispanic/Latino	141	214.0	-73.0
	Black or African-American	97	214.0	-117.0
	Asian or Pacific Islander	43	214.0	-171.0
	Total	856		

Test Statistics

Conspy17_Cat		Which racial group do you most closely identify with? - Selected Choice
-1.00	Chi-Square	1044.795[a]
	df	3
	Asymp. Sig.	<.001
.00	Chi-Square	165.151[b]
	df	3
	Asymp. Sig.	<.001
1.00	Chi-Square	834.486[c]
	df	3
	Asymp. Sig.	<.001

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 405.0.

b. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 149.3.

c. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 214.0.

Oneway

Descriptives

		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean Lower Bound
The 2016 Presidential election of Donald Trump was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians and computer programmers in Russia.	White	1786	-.8102	2.11605	.05007	-.9084
	Hispanic/Latino	659	.0228	1.86010	.07246	-.1195
	Black or African-American	430	.5349	1.83655	.08857	.3608
	Asian or Pacific Islander	198	.0758	1.77670	.12626	-.1732
	Total	3073	-.3863	2.07104	.03736	-.4595
The 2020 Presidential election of Joe Biden was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians, voting machine programmers, and poll workers.	White	1786	-.7032	2.36202	.05589	-.8129
	Hispanic/Latino	659	-.8907	1.93438	.07535	-1.0387
	Black or African-American	430	-.9047	1.97129	.09506	-1.0915
	Asian or Pacific Islander	198	-.8283	1.94879	.13849	-1.1014
	Total	3073	-.7797	2.19951	.03968	-.8575

Descriptives

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean Upper Bound	Minimum	Maximum
The 2016 Presidential election of Donald Trump was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians and computer programmers in Russia.	White	-.7120	-3.00	3.00
	Hispanic/Latino	.1650	-3.00	3.00
	Black or African-American	.7090	-3.00	3.00
	Asian or Pacific Islander	.3248	-3.00	3.00
	Total	-.3130	-3.00	3.00
The 2020 Presidential election of Joe Biden was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians, voting machine programmers, and poll workers.	White	-.5936	-3.00	3.00
	Hispanic/Latino	-.7428	-3.00	3.00
	Black or African-American	-.7178	-3.00	3.00
	Asian or Pacific Islander	-.5552	-3.00	3.00
	Total	-.7019	-3.00	3.00

Tests of Homogeneity of Variances

		Levene Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.
The 2016 Presidential election of Donald Trump was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians and computer programmers in Russia.	Based on Mean	51.125	3	3069	<.001
	Based on Median	47.110	3	3069	<.001
	Based on Median and with adjusted df	47.110	3	2939.123	<.001
	Based on trimmed mean	49.567	3	3069	<.001
The 2020 Presidential election of Joe Biden was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians, voting machine programmers, and poll workers.	Based on Mean	54.097	3	3069	<.001
	Based on Median	45.677	3	3069	<.001
	Based on Median and with adjusted df	45.677	3	3046.192	<.001
	Based on trimmed mean	52.478	3	3069	<.001

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
The 2016 Presidential election of Donald Trump was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians and computer programmers in Russia.	Between Groups	838.347	3	279.449	69.510	<.001
	Within Groups	12338.153	3069	4.020		
	Total	13176.500	3072			
The 2020 Presidential election of Joe Biden was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians, voting machine programmers, and poll workers.	Between Groups	25.746	3	8.582	1.775	.150
	Within Groups	14836.107	3069	4.834		
	Total	14861.853	3072			

Robust Tests of Equality of Means

		Statistic[a]	df1	df2	Sig.
The 2016 Presidential election of Donald Trump was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians and computer programmers in Russia.	Welch	73.597	3	722.076	<.001

			1.895	3	726.440	.129
The 2020 Presidential election of Joe Biden was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians, voting machine programmers, and poll workers.	Welch		1.895	3	726.440	.129

a. Asymptotically F distributed.

<mark>Figure 5</mark>

NPar Tests

Chi-Square Test
Frequencies

What is the highest level of education you have achieved?

Conspy8_Cat		Observed N	Expected N	Residual
-1.00	High school diploma or equivalent	178	318.3	-140.2
	Some College or Associate's Degree	311	318.3	-7.2
	Bachelor's Degree	431	318.3	112.8
	Graduate or Professional Degree (e.g., MA, MD, PhD, JD)	353	318.3	34.8
	Total	1273		
.00	High school diploma or equivalent	221	185.3	35.8
	Some College or Associate's Degree	208	185.3	22.8
	Bachelor's Degree	214	185.3	28.8
	Graduate or Professional Degree (e.g., MA, MD, PhD, JD)	98	185.3	-87.2
	Total	741		
1.00	High school diploma or equivalent	227	264.8	-37.7
	Some College or Associate's Degree	315	264.8	50.3
	Bachelor's Degree	318	264.8	53.3

	Graduate or Professional Degree (e.g., MA, MD, PhD, JD)	199	264.8	-65.7
	Total	1059		

Test Statistics

Conspy8_Cat		What is the highest level of education you have achieved?
-1.00	Chi-Square	105.712[a]
	df	3
	Asymp. Sig.	<.001
.00	Chi-Square	55.248[b]
	df	3
	Asymp. Sig.	<.001
1.00	Chi-Square	41.959[c]
	df	3
	Asymp. Sig.	<.001

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 318.3.

b. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 185.3.

c. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 264.8.

NPar Tests

Chi-Square Test

Frequencies

What is the highest level of education you have achieved?

Conspy17_Cat		Observed N	Expected N	Residual
-1.00	High school diploma or equivalent	292	405.0	-113.0
	Some College or Associate's Degree	419	405.0	14.0
	Bachelor's Degree	531	405.0	126.0
	Graduate or Professional Degree (e.g., MA, MD, PhD, JD)	378	405.0	-27.0
	Total	1620		
.00	High school diploma or equivalent	165	149.3	15.8
	Some College or Associate's Degree	186	149.3	36.8

	Bachelor's Degree	158	149.3	8.8
	Graduate or Professional Degree (e.g., MA, MD, PhD, JD)	88	149.3	-61.2
	Total	597		
1.00	High school diploma or equivalent	169	214.0	-45.0
	Some College or Associate's Degree	229	214.0	15.0
	Bachelor's Degree	274	214.0	60.0
	Graduate or Professional Degree (e.g., MA, MD, PhD, JD)	184	214.0	-30.0
	Total	856		

Test Statistics

Conspy17_Cat		What is the highest level of education you have achieved?
-1.00	Chi-Square	73.012[a]
	df	3
	Asymp. Sig.	<.001
.00	Chi-Square	36.360[b]
	df	3
	Asymp. Sig.	<.001
1.00	Chi-Square	31.542[c]
	df	3
	Asymp. Sig.	<.001

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 405.0.

b. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 149.3.

c. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 214.0.

Oneway

Descriptives

		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean Lower Bound
The 2016 Presidential election of Donald Trump was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians and	High school diploma or equivalent	626	-.0224	1.88199	.07522	-.1701
	Some College or Associate's Degree	834	-.1619	2.06763	.07160	-.3024
	Bachelor's Degree	963	-.5286	2.05788	.06631	-.6587

		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean Lower Bound
computer programmers in Russia.	Graduate or Professional Degree (e.g., MA, MD, PhD, JD)	650	-.8138	2.17298	.08523	-.9812
	Total	3073	-.3863	2.07104	.03736	-.4595
The 2020 Presidential election of Joe Biden was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians, voting machine programmers, and poll workers.	High school diploma or equivalent	626	-.5958	2.06949	.08271	-.7583
	Some College or Associate's Degree	834	-.6930	2.14185	.07417	-.8386
	Bachelor's Degree	963	-.8609	2.25628	.07271	-1.0035
	Graduate or Professional Degree (e.g., MA, MD, PhD, JD)	650	-.9477	2.29363	.08996	-1.1243
	Total	3073	-.7797	2.19951	.03968	-.8575

Descriptives

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean Upper Bound	Minimum	Maximum
The 2016 Presidential election of Donald Trump was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians and computer programmers in Russia.	High school diploma or equivalent	.1253	-3.00	3.00
	Some College or Associate's Degree	-.0213	-3.00	3.00
	Bachelor's Degree	-.3984	-3.00	3.00
	Graduate or Professional Degree (e.g., MA, MD, PhD, JD)	-.6465	-3.00	3.00
	Total	-.3130	-3.00	3.00
The 2020 Presidential election of Joe Biden was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians, voting machine programmers, and poll workers.	High school diploma or equivalent	-.4334	-3.00	3.00
	Some College or Associate's Degree	-.5475	-3.00	3.00
	Bachelor's Degree	-.7182	-3.00	3.00
	Graduate or Professional Degree (e.g., MA, MD, PhD, JD)	-.7710	-3.00	3.00
	Total	-.7019	-3.00	3.00

Tests of Homogeneity of Variances

		Levene Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.
The 2016 Presidential election of Donald Trump	Based on Mean	29.748	3	3069	<.001
	Based on Median	23.498	3	3069	<.001

		Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.
was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians and computer programmers in Russia.	Based on Median and with adjusted df	23.498	3	2993.118	<.001
	Based on trimmed mean	29.255	3	3069	<.001
The 2020 Presidential election of Joe Biden was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians, voting machine programmers, and poll workers.	Based on Mean	12.027	3	3069	<.001
	Based on Median	7.759	3	3069	<.001
	Based on Median and with adjusted df	7.759	3	2741.033	<.001
	Based on trimmed mean	10.394	3	3069	<.001

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
The 2016 Presidential election of Donald Trump was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians and computer programmers in Russia.	Between Groups	263.226	3	87.742	20.853	<.001
	Within Groups	12913.274	3069	4.208		
	Total	13176.500	3072			
The 2020 Presidential election of Joe Biden was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians, voting machine programmers, and poll workers.	Between Groups	52.108	3	17.369	3.599	.013
	Within Groups	14809.745	3069	4.826		
	Total	14861.853	3072			

Robust Tests of Equality of Means

		Statistic[a]	df1	df2	Sig.
The 2016 Presidential election of Donald Trump was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians and computer programmers in Russia.	Welch	20.854	3	1618.467	<.001
The 2020 Presidential election of Joe Biden was fraudulent because it was tampered with by high-ranking politicians, voting machine programmers, and poll workers.	Welch	3.654	3	1618.540	.012

a. Asymptotically F distributed.